CSM LEGAL, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FERNANDO RODRIGUEZ, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

99 CENTS HOT PIZZA INC. (D/B/A 99 CENT PIZZA), ADBUL QUDDAS, and MOHAMMAD REHMAN,

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ECF Case

Defendants.
 X

Plaintiff Fernando Rodriguez ("Plaintiff Rodriguez" or "Mr. Rodriguez"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, CSM Legal, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against 99 Cents Hot Pizza Inc. (d/b/a 99 Cent Pizza), ("Defendant Corporation"), Adbul Quddas and Mohammad Rehman, ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Rodriguez is a former employee of Defendants 99 Cents Hot Pizza Inc. (d/b/a 99 Cent Pizza), Adbul Quddas, and Mohammad Rehman.
- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control pizza restaurant, located at 1127 Eastern Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11213 under the name "99 Cent Pizza".

- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Adbul Quddas and Mohammad Rehman, serve or served as owners, managers, principals, or agents of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operate or operated the pizza restaurant as a joint or unified enterprise.
- 4. Plaintiff Rodriguez was employed as a pizza maker at the pizza restaurant located at 1127 Eastern Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11213.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Rodriguez worked for Defendants without appropriate minimum wage and spread of hours compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay.
- 7. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.
 - 8. Furthermore, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez wages on a timely basis.
- 9. In this regard, Defendants have failed to provide timely wages to Plaintiff Rodriguez and Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Rodriguez to all other similarly situated employees.
- 10. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Rodriguez and other employees to work without providing the minimum wage compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 11. Plaintiff Rodriguez now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York

Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

12. Plaintiff Rodriguez seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Rodriguez's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 14. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate pizza restaurant located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Rodriguez was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 15. Plaintiff Fernando Rodriguez ("Plaintiff Rodriguez" or "Mr. Rodriguez") is an adult individual residing in Kings County, New York.
- 16. Plaintiff Rodriguez was employed by Defendants at 99 Cent Pizza from approximately May 2021 until on or about December 14, 2021.

17. Plaintiff Rodriguez consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

- 18. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, or controlled a pizza restaurant, located at 1127 Eastern Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11213 under the name "99 Cent Pizza".
- 19. Upon information and belief, 99 Cents Hot Pizza Inc. (d/b/a 99 Cent Pizza) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 1127 Eastern Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11213.
- 20. Defendant Adbul Quddas is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Adbul Quddas is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Adbul Quddas possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Rodriguez, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 21. Defendant Mohammad Rehman is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Mohammad Rehman is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Mohammad Rehman possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He

determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Rodriguez, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 22. Defendants operate pizza restaurant located in the Crown Heights section of Brooklyn, New York.
- 23. Individual Defendants, Adbul Quddas and Mohammad Rehman, possess operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and control significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Rodriguez's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Rodriguez, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Rodriguez (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Rodriguez's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.
- 27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Rodriguez and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendants Adbul Quddas and Mohammad Rehman operate Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of themselves and/or fail to operate

Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from themselves, by among other things:

- a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
- b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records,
- c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
- d) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders,
- e) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect their own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Rodriguez's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Rodriguez, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Rodriguez's services.
- 30. During 2021, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the pizza restaurant on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

- 32. Plaintiff Rodriguez is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as pizza maker.
- 33. Plaintiff Rodriguez seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Fernando Rodriguez

- 34. Plaintiff Rodriguez was employed by Defendants from approximately May 2021 until on or about December 14, 2021.
 - 35. Defendants employed Plaintiff Rodriguez as a pizza maker.
- 36. Plaintiff Rodriguez regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as pizza restaurant and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
- 37. Plaintiff Rodriguez's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 38. From approximately May 2021 until on or about December 14, 2021, Plaintiff Rodriguez worked from approximately 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. until on or about 9:00 p.m. to 9:30 p.m., 6 days a week (typically 54 to 63 hours per week).
 - 39. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Rodriguez his wages in cash.
- 40. From approximately May 2021 until on or about June 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Rodriguez \$11.00 per hour.

- 41. From approximately July 2021 until on or about December 14, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff Rodriguez \$13.00 per hour.
- 42. From approximately December 7, 2021, until on or about December 14, 2021, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Rodriguez any wages for his work.
 - 43. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Rodriguez any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
 - 44. Defendants repeatedly did not pay Plaintiff Rodriguez on time.
- 45. Plaintiff Rodriguez was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked. Upon information and belief, Defendants wrote Plaintiff Rodriguez' hours in a notebook. However, Plaintiff Rodriguez was not privy to the information written in the notebook.
- 46. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Rodriguez regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 47. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Rodriguez an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 48. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Rodriguez, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Rodriguez's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

49. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Rodriguez (and all similarly situated employees) to work without paying him appropriate minimum wage and spread of hours pay as required by federal and state laws.

- 50. Plaintiff Rodriguez was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 51. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Rodriguez not receiving payment for all his hours worked, and resulted in Plaintiff Rodriguez's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.
- 52. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.
 - 53. Defendants paid Plaintiff Rodriguez his wages in cash.
- 54. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 55. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Rodriguez (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Rodriguez properly for his full hours worked.
- 56. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 57. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Rodriguez and other similarly situated former workers.
- 58. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Rodriguez and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that

payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

59. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Rodriguez and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 60. Plaintiff Rodriguez brings his FLSA minimum wage and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 61. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Rodriguez and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and

plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.

62. The claims of Plaintiff Rodriguez stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 63. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Rodriguez's employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Rodriguez (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.
- 65. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 66. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 67. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 68. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 69. Plaintiff Rodriguez (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

- 70. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Rodriguez's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Rodriguez, controlled the terms and conditions of his employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for his employment.
- 72. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Rodriguez less than the minimum wage.
- 73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 74. Plaintiff Rodriguez was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER

OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

- 75. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Rodriguez's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6.

- 77. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Rodriguez an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Rodriguez's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.
 - 78. Plaintiff Rodriguez was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 79. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 80. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Rodriguez with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Rodriguez's primary language), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 81. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Rodriguez in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 82. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Rodriguez with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 84. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Rodriguez in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE TIMELY PAYMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 85. Plaintiff Rodriguez repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.
- 86. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Rodriguez on a regular weekly basis, in violation of NYLL §191.
 - 87. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Rodriguez in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rodriguez respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

- (a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members;
- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Rodriguez's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (g) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Rodriguez;

- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours requirements of the NYLL and supporting regulations as to Plaintiff Rodriguez;
- (i) Declaring that Defendants violated the timely payment provisions of the NYLL as to Plaintiff Rodriguez;
- (j) Awarding Plaintiff liquated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of wages shown to be untimely, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interests pursuant to NYLL §191(1)(a), 198;
- (k) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Rodriguez's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (l) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL and spread of hours wage order were willful as to Plaintiff Rodriguez;
- (m) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable
- (n) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);
- (o) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage and spread of hours pay shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);
- (p) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;

- (q) Awarding Plaintiff Rodriguez and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;
- (r) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
 - (s) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Rodriguez demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

March 28, 2022

CSM LEGAL, P.C

By: /s/ Catalina Sojo, Esq.

Catalina Sojo [CS-5779517] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

- 17 -

CSM Legal, P.C. Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 New York, New York 10165 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 catalina@csmlegal.com January 17, 2022 **BY HAND** TO: Clerk of Court, I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.) Name / Nombre: Fernando Rodriguez Legal Representative / Abogado: CSM Legal, P.C. Signature / Firma: Date / Fecha: 17 de enero 2022